DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

RIVERINE STRUCTURES FORM (FORM 3)

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

| Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 3.5 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234. PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990. | | | | |
| DISCLOSURE: The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). Flooding Source: | | | | |
| Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied | | | | |
| A. GENERAL | | | | |
| Complete the appropriate section(s) for each Structure listed below: Channelization: complete Section B Bridge/Culvert: complete Section C Dam: complete Section D Levee/Floodwall: complete Section E Sediment Transport: complete Section F (if required) | | | | |
| Description Of Modeled Structure | | | | |
| 1. Name of Structure: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Type (check one): Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam | | | | |
| Location of Structure: | | | | |
| Downstream Limit/Cross Section: | | | | |
| Upstream Limit/Cross Section: | | | | |
| 2. Name of Structure: | | | | |
| Type (check one): Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam | | | | |
| Location of Structure: | | | | |
| Downstream Limit/Cross Section: | | | | |
| Upstream Limit/Cross Section: | | | | |
| 3. Name of Structure: | | | | |
| Type (check one): Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam | | | | |
| Location of Structure: | | | | |
| Downstream Limit/Cross Section: | | | | |
| Upstream Limit/Cross Section: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| NOTE: FOR MORE STRUCTURES, ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES AS NEEDED. | | | | |

| | B. CHA | NNELIZATION | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Floodin | g Source: | | | | |
| Name | of Structure: | | | | |
| 1. | Hydraulic Considerations | | | | |
| | The channel was designated to carry (cfs) and/ | or the year flood | | | |
| | hydraulic jump is controlled without affecting the stability of Inlet to channel Outlet to channel At Drop | cal flow Energy grade line locations, check all that apply and attach an explanation of how the the channel. | | | |
| | Other locations (specify): | | | | |
| 2. | <u>Channel Design Plans</u> | | | | |
| | Attach the plans of the channelization certified by a register | ed professional engineer, as described in the instructions. | | | |
| 3. | Accessory Structures | | | | |
| | The channelization includes (check one): Levees [Attach Section E (Levee/Floodwall)] Drop structures Superelevated sections Transitions in cross sectional geometry Debris basin/detention basin [Attach Section D (Dam/Basin)] Other (Describe): | | | | |
| 4. | Sediment Transport Considerations | | | | |
| | Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by sediment tran | sport? 🗌 Yes 📄 No | | | |
| | If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3. If No, then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered. | | | | |
| C. BRIDGE/CULVERT | | | | | |
| Floodin | g Source: | | | | |
| Name | of Structure: | | | | |
| 1. | This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/Culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified Bridge/Culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of Bridge/Culvert previously modeled in | in the FIS | | | |
| 2. | Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with special bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): If different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze the structures. Attach justification. | | | | |
| 3. | Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered profe following (check the information that has been provided): | ssional engineer. The plan detail and information should include the | | | |
| | Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length) | Distance between Cross Sections | | | |
| | Shape (culverts only) | Erosion Protection | | | |
| | Material | Low Chord Elevations - Upstream and Downstream | | | |
| | Beveling and Rounding | Top of Road Elevations - Upstream and Downstream | | | |
| | Wink Wall Angle | Structure Invert Elevations - Upstream and Downstream | | | |
| | Skew Angle | Stream Invert Elevations - Upstream and Downstream | | | |
| | | Cross-Section Locations | | | |
| 4. | Sediment Transport Considerations | | | | |
| | Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by sediment transport? Yes No | | | | |
| | If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form sediment transport was not considered. | 3. If No, then attach your explanation for why | | | |

| | D. DAM/BASIN | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Floodi | ng Source: | | | | | |
| Name | of Structure: | | | | | |
| 1. | This request is for (check one): 🔄 Existing Dam/Basin 🗌 New Dam/Basin 🗌 Modification of existing Dam/Basin | | | | | |
| 2. | The Dam/Basin was designed by (check one): 🔄 Federal Agency 🗌 State Agency 📄 Private Organization | | | | | |
| | Local Government Agency Name of the Agency or Organization: | | | | | |
| 3. | The Dam was permitted as (check one): 🔄 Federal Dam 📄 State Dam | | | | | |
| | Provide the permit or identification number (ID) for the dam and the appropriate permitting agency or organization | | | | | |
| | Permit or ID number Permitting Agency or Organization | | | | | |
| | a Local Government Dam Private Dam | | | | | |
| | Provided related drawings, specification and supporting design information. | | | | | |
| 4. | Does the project involve revised hydrology? Yes No | | | | | |
| | If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2). | | | | | |
| | Was the dam/basin designed using critical duration storm? (must account for the maximum volume of runoff) | | | | | |
| | Yes, provide supporting documentation with your completed Form 2. | | | | | |
| | No, provide a written explanation and justification for not using the critical duration storm. | | | | | |
| 5. | Does the submittal include debris/sediment yield analysis? 🔄 Yes 🔄 No | | | | | |
| | If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why debris/sediment analysis was not considered? | | | | | |
| 6. | Does the Base Flood Elevation behind the dam/basin or downstream of the dam/basin change? 🔲 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| | If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2) and complete the table below. | | | | | |
| | Stillwater Elevation Behind the Dam/Basin | | | | | |
| | FREQUENCY (% annual chance) FIS REVISED | | | | | |
| | 10-year (10%) | | | | | |
| | 50-year (2%) | | | | | |
| | 100-year (1%) | | | | | |
| | 500-year (0.2%) | | | | | |
| | Normal Pool Elevation | | | | | |
| 7. | Please attach a copy of the formal Operation and Maintenance Plan | | | | | |
| | E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL | | | | | |
| 1. | System Elements | | | | | |
| | a. This Levee/Floodwall analysis is based on (check one): Upgrading of an existing levee/floodwall system by the system by th | | | | | |
| | b. Levee elements and locations are (check one): | | | | | |
| | Earthen embankment, dike, berm, etc Stationed to | | | | | |
| | Structured floodwall Stationedto Other (describe): Stationedto | | | | | |
| | Other (describe): Stationed to | | | | | |

| | | | E. LEV | /EE/FLOODWALL (CONT | TINUED) | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | c. Structural Ty | pe (check one): | Monolithic | c cast-in place reinforced c | oncrete Reinforce | d concrete masonry block | | |
| | | | Sheet pili | ng Other (describe): | | | | |
| | d. Has this levee/floodwall system been certified by a Federal agency to provide protection from the base flood? | | | | | base flood? | | |
| | Yes N | lo | | | | | | |
| | If Yes, by which a | agency? | | | | | | |
| | e. Attach certifie | ed drawings cont | aining the follow | wing information (indicate o | drawing sheet numbers): | | | |
| | 1. Plan of t | ne levee embanł | ment and flood | wall structures. | Sheet Numbers: | | | |
| | Elevatior | | nd/or wall crest a | owing the Base Flood and foundation, and m. | Sheet Numbers: | | | |
| | A profile Elevatior | of the levee/floo | dwall system sh nd/or wall crest a | owing the Base Flood and foundation, and | Sheet Numbers: | | | |
| | | | - | ction measures. | Sheet Numbers: | | | |
| | • | | • | he levee embankment | | | | |
| | features, | | ment, Floodwal | l structure, closure | Sheet Numbers: | | | |
| 2. | <u>Freeboard</u> | | | | | | | |
| | a. The minimun | n freeboard prov | ded above the l | BFE is: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>Riverine</u> | | | | | | | |
| | 3.0 feet or more a | at the downstrea | m end and throເ | | Yes No | | | |
| | 3.5 feet or more a | at the upstream e | nd | | Yes 🗌 No | | | |
| | 4.0 feet within 10 | 0 feet upstream | of all structures | and/or constrictions | | Yes 🗌 No | | |
| Coastal 1.0 foot above the height of the one percent wave associated with the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation or maximum wave runup (whichever is greater). 2.0 feet above the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Yes 🗌 No | | | |
| | | | | | Yes 🗌 No | | | |
| | Please note, occasionally exceptions are made to the minimum freeboard requirement. If an exception is requested, attach documentation addressing Paragraph 65.10(b)(1)(ii) of the NFIP Regulations. | | | | | on is | | |
| | If No is answered | If No is answered to any of the above, please attach an explanation. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Closures | | | , 0 | | | | |
| | | ough the loves a | watom (chock o | no): Eviste | Does not exist | | | |
| | a. Openings thr If opening exists, | ough the levee s list all closures: | | ne): Exists | | | | |
| | Channel Station | Left or | Right Bank | Opening Type | Highest Elevation for Opening Invert | Type of Closure Device | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| • | tend table on an ad | | eded and refere | nce) | | | | |
| | e: Geotechnical an | | unio nomento de | to obtain ad during field an | d labanatan (invastinationa | | | |
| | | | | ta obtained during field and | | | | |
| | lysis for the followir ACE] EM-1110-2-1 | | | bmitted in a tabulated sum | mary form. (Reference U | .S. Army Corps of Engineers | | |

| | | | | E. LE | VEE/FLOOD | WALL (CONT | INUED) | | | |
|--------|---|--------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 4. | <u>Emba</u> | arkment Prot | <u>ection</u> | | | | | | | |
| | a. The maximum levee slope land side is: | | | | | | | | | |
| | b. | The maxi | mum levee slope | flood side is | s: | | | | | |
| | C. | The rang | e of velocities alo | ng the levee | e during the ba | ase flood is: _ | | (mii | n) to | (max) |
| | d. | Embankn | nent material is p | rotected by | (describe wha | t kind): | | _ | | |
| | e. | | esign Parameters | (check one |): 🗌 Ve | elocity | Tractive | Stress | | |
| | | Attach ref | errences | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Flow | | Curve or | | Stone R | iprap | |
| | Rea | ach | Sideslope | Depth | Velocity | Straight | D100 | D50 | Thickness | Depth of Toedown |
| Sta _ | | to | | | | | | | | |
| Sta _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sta _ | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| Sta | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sta | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sta | | to | | | | | | | | |
| (Exter | | | sheet as needed | d and refere | nce each entr | /) | | [| | I |
| Ì | f. | | ing/filter analysis | | | Yes | No | | | |
| | | | the analysis used | - | | | | of the deci | an analysis): | |
| | g. | Describe | the analysis used | | nus or protect | on used (inclu | ide copies | | gil analysis). | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attach | n engine | ering analys | is to support cons | struction pla | ns. | | | | | |
| 5. | - | | Foundation Stabi | | | | | | | |
| | a. | | | - | is for selectior | n of critical loca | ation for ar | nalysis: | | |
| | a. Identify locations and describe the basis for selection of critical location for analysis: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | T 4 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ , height | ft. | | | | |
| | | Lim | iting foundation s | oil strength: | | | | | | |
| | | S | Strength $\phi = $ | degree | s, c = | psf | | | | |
| | | S | lope: SS = | (h) to | (v) | | | | | |
| | | | Repeat as needed | | | ditional location | ons) | | | |
| | b. | | ' ne embankment s | | | | | rc. slidina l | block, infinite slo | pe. etc.): |
| | | -p | | ,, | | -99 (91 | , | , | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | . | | | | | | | |
| | C. | Summary | / of stability analy | sis results: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED) | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 5. <u>Embarkment an</u> | 5. Embarkment and Foundation Stability (continued) | | | | | |
| Case | Loading Conditions | | Critical Safety Factor | | | Criteria (Min.) |
| I | End of construction | | | | | 1.3 |
| 11 | Sudden drawdown | | | | | 1.0 |
| 111 | Critical flood stage | | | | | 1.4 |
| IV St | eady seepage at flood s | age | | | | 1.4 |
| VI | Earthquake (Case I) | | | | | 1.0 |
| (Reference: USACE E | M-1110-2-1913 Table 6- | 1) | | | | |
| | seepage analysis for the describe methodology us | | ormed? | Yes No | | |
| f. Were u g. Were s | f. Were uplift pressures at the embankment landside toe checked? Yes No g. Were seepage exit gradients checked for piping potential? Yes No h. The duration of the base flood hydrograph against the embankment ishours. | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| b. Stability analysis submitted provides for: Overturning Sliding If not, explain: | | | | | | |
| c. Loading included in the analyses were: \Box Lateral earth @ P _A = psf; P _p = psf | | | | | | |
| Surcharge-Slope @, surfacepsf | | | | | | |
| | $\square Wind @ P_w = psf$ | | | | | |
| | eepage (Uplift); | Earthq | uake @ P _{eq} = | %g | | |
| 1%-annual- | chance significant wave | | | | | |
| 1%-annual- | chance significant wave | period: | sec. | | | |
| | ary of Stability Analysis R e for each range in site la | | | n limitation for each | respective reach | I. |
| Loading Condition | Criteria | , , | Sta | То | Sta | То |
| | Overturn | Sliding | Overturn | Sliding | Overturn | Sliding |
| Dead & Wind | 1.5 | 1.5 | | | | |
| Dead & Soil | 1.5 | 1.5 | | | | |
| Dead, Soil, Flood, & Impa | | 1.5 | | | | |
| Dead, Soil, & Seismic | 1.3 | 1.3 | | | | |
| (Ref: FEMA 114 Sept 1986; USACE EM 1110-2-2502) Note: (Extend table on an added sheet as needed and reference) | | | | | | |

| | E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED) | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|--|
| e. Foundation bearing strength for each soil type: | | | | | | |
| | | Bearing Pressure | Sustained Load (psf) | | Short Term Load (psf) | |
| Compu | ted desig | gn maximum | | | | |
| Maximu | um allow | able | | | | |
| | f. | Foundation scour protection is, | is not provided. If pr | rovided, attach exp | planation and supporting documentation: | |
| | | Attach engineering analysis to support c | onstruction plans. | | | |
| 7. | <u>Settlen</u> | nent | | | | |
| | a. | Has anticipated potential settlement beer construction elevations to maintain the e | | | cified | |
| | b. | The computed settlement range is | ft. to | ft. | | |
| | C. | Settlement of the levee crest is determine | ed to be primarily from : | Foundation | consolidation | |
| | | Embankment compression | Other (Describe): | | | |
| | d. | Differential settlement of floodwalls | | en accommodated | in the structural design and construction | |
| | | Attach engineering analysis to support | | | 5 | |
| 8. | Interior | r Drainage | construction plans. | | | |
| 0. | a. | Specify size of each interior watershed: | | | | |
| | a. | Drainage to pressure conduit: | acres | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | b. | Drainage to ponding area: Relationship Established: | | | | |
| | D. | Ponding elevation vs. storage | | □ Yes □ N | | |
| | | Ponding elevation vs. gravity flow | | | | |
| | | Differential head vs. gravity flow | | | lo | |
| | C. | The river flow duration curve is enclosed: | : | | lo | |
| | d. | Specify the discharge capacity of the hea | d pressure conduit: | cfs | 3 | |
| | e. | Which flooding conditions were analyzed | ? | | | |
| | | Gravity flow (Interior Watershed) | | 🗌 Yes 🗌 N | lo | |
| | | Common storm (River Watershed) | | Yes N | lo | |
| | | Historical ponding probability | | 🗌 Yes 🗌 N | lo | |
| | | Coastal wave overtopping | | Yes N | 0 | |
| | | If No for any of the above, attach expla | ination. | | | |
| | f. | Interior drainage has been analyzed base of pumping and outlet facilities to provide Yes No If No, attach expla | ed on joint probability of e the established level o | | or flooding and the capacities | |
| | g. | The rate of seepage through the levee sy | stem for the base flood | is : | cfs | |
| | h. | The length of levee system used to drive | this seepage rate in iter | n g: | ft. | |
| | | | | | | |

| | E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED) | |
|--|--|---|
| 8. <u>Interior Drainage (continued)</u> | | |
| i. Will pumping plants be used for | r interior drainage? | No |
| If Yes, include the number of | oumping plants: For each pumping | ı plant, list: |
| | Plant #1 | Plant #2 |
| The number of pumps | | |
| The ponding storage capacity | | |
| The maximum pumping rate | | |
| The maximum pumping head | | |
| The pumping starting elevation | | |
| The pumping stopping elevation | | |
| Is the discharge facility protected? | | |
| Is there a flood warning plan? | | |
| How much time is available between warning and flooding? | | |
| Will the operation be automatic? | Yes No | |
| If the pumps are electric; are there backup powe | r sources? | |
| (Reference: USACE EM-1110-2-3101, 3102, 31 Include a copy of supporting documentation of da for all interior watersheds that result in flooding. | | oded area and maximum ponding elevations |
| 9. <u>Other Design Criteria</u> | | |
| a. The following items have been | addressed as stated: | |
| Liquefaction 🗌 is 🗌 | is not a problem | |
| Hydrocompaction is | is not a problem | |
| | | s not a problem |
| b. For each of these problems, sta | ate the basic facts and corrective action taken: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Attach supporting documentat | ion | |
| c. If the levee/floodwall is new or of the structure? | enlarged, will the structure adversely impact floo | d levels and/or flow velocities floodside |
| d. Sediment Transport Considerat | | |
| Was sediment transport consi | dered? | No |
| If Yes, then fill out Section F (not considered. | Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your exp | planation for why sediment transport was |
| 10. <u>Operational Plan and Criteria</u> | | |
| | in full compliance with Part 65.10 of the NFIP R | Regulations? Yes No |
| b. Does the operation plan incorp Paragraph 65.10(c)(1) of the N | orate all the provisions for closure devices as red FIP regulations? | quired in |
| c. Does the operation plan incorp Paragraph 65.10(c)(2) of the N | orate all the provisions for interior drainage as re FIP regulations? | equired in 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| If the answer is No to any of the | ne above, please attach supporting documentation | on. |
| | | |
| | | |

| | E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED) | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 11. | Maintenance Plan | | | | |
| | Please attach a copy of the fomal maintenance plan for the levee/floodwall | | | | |
| 12. | Operational and Maintenance Plan | | | | |
| | Please attach a copy of the formal Operations and Maintenance Plan for the levee/floodwall. | | | | |
| | CERTIFICATION OF THE LEVEE DOCUMENTATION | | | | |
| data, hy the MT· | tification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed registered professional engineer authorized by law to certify elevation information drologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.10(e) and as described in 2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that a statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. | | | | |
| Certifi | r's Name: License No.: Expiration Date: | | | | |
| Comp | ny Name: Telephone No.: Fax No.: | | | | |
| Signat | Ire: Date: E-mail Address: | | | | |
| | CERTIFICATION OF THE LEVEE DOCUMENTATION | | | | |
| Floodi | g Source: | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Name | of Structure: | | | | |
| Elevat potent | e is any indication from historical records that sediment transport (including scour and deposition) can affect the Base Flood on (BFE); and/or based on the stream morphology, vegetative cover, development of the watershed and bank conditions, there is a al for debris and sediment transport (including scour and deposition) to affect the BFEs, then provide the following information along e supporting documentation: | | | | |
| Sedin | ent load associated with the base flood discharge: Volumeacres-feet | | | | |
| Debri | load associated with the base flood discharge: Volumeacres-feet | | | | |
| Sedin | ent transport rate (percent concentration by volume) | | | | |
| Metho | d used to estimate sediment transport: | | | | |
| | ediment transport formulas are intended for a range of hydraulic conditions and sediment sizes; attach a detailed explanation for he selected method. | | | | |
| | Method used to estimate scour and/or deposition: | | | | |
| | Method used to revise hydraulic or hydrologic analysis (model) to account for sediment transport: | | | | |
| | e note that bulked flows are used to evaluate the performance of a structure during the base flood; however, FEMA does not map based on bulked flows. | | | | |
| | diment analysis has not been performed, an explanation as to why sediment transport (including scour and deposition) will not the BFEs or structures must be provided. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |