

Draft Environmental Assessment Chiasson Group Housing Site (LF-3)

FEMA-4611-DR-LA

Lockport, Lafourche Parish, Louisiana

March 2022



FEMA

**U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region 6
Louisiana Integration and Recovery Office
1500 Main Street, Baton Rouge, Louisiana**

(This page intentionally left blank)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 Introduction.....	1
2.0 Purpose and Need	1
3.0 Environmental Review Process	2
4.0 Site Selection Process and Alternatives.....	3
4.1 Project Site and Location Description	3
4.2 Proposed Action Description	4
5.0 Affected Environment and Potential Impacts.....	5
5.1 Soils	5
5.2 Water Resources	6
5.2.1 Water Quality	6
5.2.2 Wetlands.....	8
5.2.3 Ground Water	8
5.2.4 Floodplains.....	9
5.3 Coastal Zone Management	11
5.4 Threatened and Endangered Species and Critical Habitat	12
5.5 Cultural Resources	13
5.6 Socioeconomic Resources.....	14
5.6.1 Environmental Justice	16
5.7 Hazardous Materials	16
5.8 Traffic and Transportation.....	17
6.0 Public Involvement	18
7.0 Mitigation.....	19
8.0 List of Preparers	21

TABLES

Table 1: Federally Listed Species Known to Occur in Lafourche Parish	12
Table 2: Project Population Data	15
Table 3: Summary Table—Affected Environment, Impacts, and Mitigation	22

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Aerial Photo and Vicinity of Proposed Chiasson Property (LF-3) Group Site	29
Figure 2: Chiasson Group Site Proposed Layout.....	30
Figure 3: Chiasson Group Site Flood Insurance Rate Map	31
Figure 4: Photograph of Existing Site Conditions at the Proposed Chiasson LF-3 Group Site Facing East	32
Figure 5: Photograph of Existing Site Conditions at the Proposed Chiasson LF-3 Group Site Facing North	33

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 - Coordination Letters with State Historic Preservation Officer	
Attachment 2 – Floodplain Management – Checklist (44 CFR Part 9)	
Attachment 3 - US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) wetland delineation letter	
Attachment 4 - Office of Coastal Management (OCM) coastal zone consistency letter	

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACS	American Community Survey
APE	Area of Potential Effect
BFE	Base Flood Elevation
BMP	Best Management Practices
CAP	Corrective Action Plan
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CUP	Coastal Use Permit
CWA	Clean Water Act
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
EA	Environmental Assessment
EDMS	Electronic Document Management System
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EO	Executive Order
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Environmental Site Assessment
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Map
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
GOHSEP	Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness
GSA	General Services Administration
HMA	Hazard Mitigation Assistance
IA	Individual Assistance
IHP	Individuals and Households Program
LCRP	Louisiana Coastal Resources Program
LDEQ	Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality
LDNR	Louisiana Department of Natural Resources
LDOTD	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development
LPDES	Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
MHU	Manufactured Housing Unit
MLR	Multi-Family Lease and Repair Program
MSA	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
NCS	Non-Congregate Sheltering
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFA	No Further Action
NFA-ATT	No Further Action at This Time
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration
NPL	National Priorities List
NPDES	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NRCS	National Resources Conservation Service
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory

OCM	Office of Coastal Management
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
P&A	Plugged and Abandoned
PA	Public Assistance
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SEMS	Superfund Enterprise Management System
SFHA	Special Flood Hazard Area
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
SSA	Sole Source Aquifer
SWPPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
TDML	Total Daily Maximum Load
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TTHU	Transportable Temporary Housing Unit
UFAS	Uniform Federal Accessibility Standard
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USCB	United States Census Bureau
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
UST	Underground Storage Tank
USTD	Underground Storage Tank Division

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The President of the United States issued a Major Disaster Declaration for Hurricane Ida (DR-4611-LA) on August 29, 2021, and amended September 7, 2021, and September 13, 2021. This Declaration authorized the Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to provide federal assistance under Section 408 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), Public Law No. 93-288, as amended in designated areas of Louisiana impacted by the hurricanes. Under this authority FEMA may provide direct temporary housing when there is a lack of available housing resources for eligible disaster victims whose homes are uninhabitable or destroyed as a result of the declared event.

To provide temporary housing solutions for survivors of Hurricane Ida, the State of Louisiana (Recipient and Applicant) requested assistance from FEMA in the form of Direct Temporary Housing (Direct Housing or Housing Assistance) through the Individuals and Households Program (IHP) for DR-4611-LA. FEMA authorized Housing Assistance for a period of up to 18 months for the following parishes for Hurricane Ida: Jefferson, Lafourche, Livingston, Plaquemines, St. Charles, St. Helena, St. James, St. John the Baptist, Tangipahoa and Terrebonne. FEMA's Direct Housing involves a variety of temporary housing solutions, including the Multi-Family Lease and Repair Program (MLR), Direct Lease, and distribution of Transportable Temporary Housing Units (TTHUs) on private residential lots or commercial lots. If existing private residential or commercial lots do not meet the need for TTHU placement, FEMA may construct Group Housing sites on undeveloped land to include build out of TTHU pads, ingress, egress, and utility connections.

This Environmental Assessment (EA) has been prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the President's Council on Environmental Quality regulations to implement NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and FEMA's procedures for implementing NEPA (FEMA Instruction 108-1-1). FEMA is required to consider potential environmental impacts before funding or approving actions and projects. This EA will analyze the potential environmental impacts of the proposed temporary group housing, Chiasson Property Group Housing Site, as part of an expedited review process. FEMA will use the findings in this EA to determine whether to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

2.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

Catastrophic damage from severe storms and flooding resulted in an extraordinary demand for housing assistance in communities within the parishes of Jefferson, Lafourche, Livingston, Plaquemines, St. Charles, St. Helena, St. James, St. John the Baptist, Tangipahoa and Terrebonne. FEMA's standard housing solutions such as MLR, NCS, Direct Lease, and individual TTHUs placed on the private lot of individual survivors, or grouped onto commercial sites equipped to support them, while critical to the success of the housing mission, cannot meet the entirety of the need within the parishes of Jefferson, Lafourche, Livingston, Plaquemines, St. Charles, St. Helena,

St. James, St. John the Baptist, Tangipahoa and Terrebonne. To address the gap in housing assistance, the Stafford Act Section 408 and 44 CFR § 206.117 provides housing assistance to eligible individuals and households who have been devastated by a major disaster or emergency. FEMA intends to pursue the development of TTHU Group Sites on previously undeveloped land or undeveloped lots for DR-4611-LA.

Providing housing resources for survivors of Hurricane Ida is a priority of FEMA's recovery mission. As of January 11, 2022, based on applicant call outs, it is estimated that approximately 1,198 households will require direct temporary housing assistance in Lafourche Parish. While approximately half of this need has been met with private and commercial sites, there remains unmet need of 410 units which could be filled through group site locations.

While the Direct Housing Task Force is actively soliciting properties for Direct Lease and MLR, FEMA anticipates very few properties will be available. The low number of feasible private and commercial sites, MLR, and Direct Lease properties, is insufficient to meet the need for direct temporary housing in Lafourche Parish. The decision to develop Group Sites is made only after all other housing solutions have been exhausted and the housing needs remain. The development of the Chiasson Group Site would provide housing resources for survivors of Hurricane Ida and remains a priority of FEMA's recovery mission. The development of the Chiasson Group Site would alleviate the need for approximately 208 of the 410 needed TTHUs in Lafourche Parish.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

In order to meet the urgent needs of disaster survivors requiring temporary housing, FEMA has implemented an expedited environmental review process. The purpose of this document is to assist FEMA in fulfilling its environmental review responsibilities under NEPA and to serve as a vehicle to document compliance under other applicable environmental and historic laws and orders. Laws and orders addressed through this EA include: the Clean Air Act; Clean Water Act (CWA); Endangered Species Act; National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA); Executive Order (EO) 11988 (Floodplain Management); EO 11990 (Protection of Wetlands); EO 12898 (Environmental Justice); Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA); Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA); and Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA). Agency coordination/consultation is being conducted on a site-by-site basis as necessary.

The scope of FEMA's environmental review includes evaluating project alternatives, characterizing the affected environment, identifying potential environmental impacts, and outlining ways to avoid, reduce or minimize adverse effects. This EA examines the site-specific environmental impacts associated with constructing a proposed FEMA group-housing site on private or publicly owned land to be leased by the General Services Administration (GSA) for this purpose. This EA was prepared based on a site evaluation, document research, and resource agency information.

4.0 SITE SELECTION PROCESS AND ALTERNATIVES

NEPA requires investigation and evaluation of reasonable project alternatives as part of the project environmental review process. At a minimum, FEMA's NEPA implementing regulations require that the No Action and Proposed Action be evaluated. In order to expedite the group housing site selection process, FEMA is working closely with local officials and the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to identify potential sites, followed by site reconnaissance and research to determine site suitability. Important factors considered in choosing a site include demand for temporary housing in that area, group acceptance, proximity of group services/amenities (schools, healthcare facilities, public transportation, etc.), engineering and construction feasibility, access to utilities, land use compatibilities, property owner terms, costs to develop and maintain the site, and environmental/cultural resource sensitivities. FEMA will continue to evaluate alternative sites in Lafourche Parish. The Chiasson Group Site was selected for further detailed analysis because it meets the basic site feasibility and selection criteria.

Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, FEMA would not develop a temporary group housing site at this location. Displaced residents would continue to stay with relatives/friends, in hotels, or in other temporary locations until they resolve their own long-term housing needs. This alternative may jeopardize public health, safety, and well-being and does not meet the purpose and need but will continue to be evaluated throughout this EA and serve as a baseline comparison of impacts from other action alternatives. The future environmental condition of the site would be at the discretion of the property owner.

Alternative 2 – Develop the Chiasson (LF-3) Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

The Proposed Action would provide temporary housing for eligible disaster survivors displaced by flooding. Disaster survivors would be temporarily relocated to the site with an expected occupancy up to 18 months (which includes a site deactivation period) while they resolve their permanent housing needs. This alternative would assist in fulfilling FEMA's mandate under the IHP to expeditiously provide temporary housing for eligible disaster survivors. This alternative would also address the proposed project's purpose and need as it satisfies the housing needs for those survivors for whom other types of direct housing options are not available.

4.1 Project Site and Location Description

The Chiasson Group Housing project site is located at 7757 Highway 308 Lockport, Lafourche Parish, LA 70374, Latitude: 29.628238, Longitude: -90.501882. Figure #1 is an aerial photo depicting the boundaries of the project site and adjacent area. Approximately 45-acres of the approximate 101-acre property would be developed. The site consists of a large strip of farmland with LA-308 on one side. There is some rural residential housing on the north and south sides for the property as well as a Farm Market and Building Supply Store. There is an abandoned railroad line that bisects the project site.

Approximately 208 mobile home units (MHUs) would be placed in the proposed footprint to be used as temporary group site housing. Of those 208 pad sites, all are located in an AE Zone within the 100-year floodplain.

4.2 Proposed Action Description

The Proposed Action would utilize the project site and design to contract for the construction of approximately 208 manufactured housing unit pads, green space, and all necessary support facilities (Figure #2). These pads would be used for the placement of MHUs to house displaced families.

The development of the site would require the installation of utilities on the site; gravel for the roads, trailer pads and resident parking; concrete for disabled American Disability Act (ADA) parking areas; site lighting; and a perimeter fence around the property. The exact depth of excavation and grading would likely not exceed 3.5 feet for utility trenching and 10 feet for the sewage wet pump, if required.

The following specific site development components would be included with this project:

- Site preparation would include clearing, grading, and removal of woody vegetation and debris.
- Infrastructure for water and electrical services exists off LA Highway 308 but would have to be extended onto the site.
- Infrastructure for sewer would consist of a packaged sewage treatment plant on site.
- New lines and fire hydrants, as required, would be installed on the property.
- Storm water drainage would be developed within the site.
- Site features include approximately 15% of the units meeting Uniform Federal Accessibility Standard (UFAS), and 100% of on-site essential services/facilities (such as mailbox kiosk) would be UFAS compatible.
- Erosion control would be established during the construction period and a perimeter fence would be constructed around the project site.

FEMA would operate and maintain the site during the term of occupancy. When the temporary housing need has ended, FEMA expects that the MHUs would be hauled from the site and returned to a FEMA storage facility. The project site would be reasonably restored to its previous condition and then seeded or left per the lease terms with the landowner.

5.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND POTENTIAL IMPACTS

This section is organized by individual resources. It includes a description of the existing conditions at the project area and provides an analysis of potential environmental impacts for each alternative. Impacts to the following resources as a result of the Proposed Action were found to be discountable and are not evaluated further in this EA: geology, seismicity, air quality, climate change, wildlife and fish, noise, public service and utilities, and public health and safety. Where potential impacts exist, conditions or mitigation measures to offset these impacts are detailed. Table 3 summarizes the results of the environmental review process. No safety issues were identified on the project site.

5.1 Soils

In 1981 Congress passed the Agriculture and Food Act of 1981 (P.L. 97-98) containing Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) subtitle I of Title XV, Section 1539-1549. The final rules and regulations were published in the Federal Register on June 17, 1994. The FPPA is intended to minimize the impact Federal programs have on the unnecessary and irreversible conversion of farmland to nonagricultural uses. It ensures that to the extent possible, Federal programs are administered to be compatible with state and local units of government, as well as private programs and policies to protect farmland. The FPPA does not authorize the federal government to regulate the use of private or non-federal land or in any way affect the property rights of owners. As detailed in the FPPA, farmland includes prime farmland, unique farmland, and land of statewide or local importance. Farmland subject to FPPA requirements does not have to be currently used for cropland. It can be forest land, pastureland, cropland, or other land, but not water or urban built-up land.

USDA soil survey map on January 30, 2022, shows this proposed group site as approximately 45 acres of prime farmland. The USDA soil survey shows Cancienne silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, and Schriever silty clay loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, soils that are somewhat poorly drained .

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

The No Action Alternative would not entail any construction activities; therefore, no adverse impacts to soils would occur as a result of no federal actions.

Alternative 2 - Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

The soils at the Chiasson Group Site are considered prime farmland. FEMA completed the Site Assessment Criteria (Part VI) of the National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) AD 1006 Farmland Impact Conversion Rating form by utilizing criteria explained in 7 CFR § 658.5(b). FEMA's Total Site Assessment points (Part VI in the AD 1006 Form) of 50 is based on the following categories: Area in Non-urban Use (11 out of 15); Perimeter in Non-urban Use (6 out of 10); Percent of Site Being Farmed (20 out of 20); Protection Provided by State and Local Government. (0 out of 20); Distance from Urban Build-up Area (8 out of 15); Distance to Urban Support Services (0 out of 15); Size of Present Farmland Unit Compared to Average (0 out of 10);

Creation of Non-farmable Farmland (0 out of 10); Availability of Farm Support Services (3 out of 5); On-Farm Investments (2 out of 20); Effects of Conversion on Farm Support Services (0 out of 10); and Compatibility with Existing Agricultural Use (0 out of 10). Total Site Assessment points (Part VI in the AD 1006 Form) less than 160 indicates a site need not be given further consideration for FPPA protection (per 7 CFR § 658.4(c)(3)).

Therefore, although approximately 45 acres of prime farmlands soils would be permanently impacted, this impact is considered minor due to the vast amounts of the same and similar soils throughout Lafourche Parish. The Proposed Action must comply with the mitigation measures discussed in Section 7 of this EA to address requirements of the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) to observe precautions to control nonpoint source pollution, reduce erosion, and develop a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and implement the required conditions.

5.2 Water Resources

This section provides an overview of the affected area and potential environmental effects of the No Action and Proposed Action Alternatives on water resources, including water quality, streams, wetlands, and floodplains.

5.2.1 Water Quality

Section 401 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires state certification of all Federal licenses and permits in which there is a “discharge of fill material into navigable waters.” The certification process is used to determine whether an activity, as described in the Federal license or permit, would impact established site-specific water quality standards. A water quality certification from the issuing state, the LDEQ in this case, is required prior to the issuance of the relevant Federal license or permit. The most common Federal license or permit requiring certification is the USACE CWA Section 404 permit.

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) was created by Section 402 of the CWA. This program authorizes the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to issue permits for the point-source discharge of pollutants into waters of the United States (U.S.) Through a 2004 Memorandum of Agreement, the EPA delegated its permit program for the State of Louisiana to LDEQ. The ensuing Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (LPDES) program authorizes individual permits, general permits, storm water permits, and pretreatment activities that result in discharges to jurisdictional waters of the state.

Impaired Waterbodies

Impaired waterbodies and streams are on the CWA 303(d) list and are required to develop a total maximum daily load (TMDL). TMDLs are maximum amounts of pollutants that can be released in a waterbody or stream without causing the water to become impaired (violate state water quality standards). TMDLs for Oxygen Demand have been established for Bayou Lafourche, Bayou Folse

and Bayou L'Eau Bleu, which flow into the Intercoastal Waterway. TMDL requirements are established in NPDES permits and through Best Management Practices (BMPs). BMPs are types of activities that are created to control or minimize pollutants from an area to water bodies of the state.

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

The No Action Alternative would have no impact on water quality would occur as a result of no federal actions.

Alternative 2 - Develop the McCloud Plantation Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

Under the Proposed Action Alternative, impacts to water quality, if any, would be minor. Appropriate best management practices (BMPs) will be implemented during site development to minimize sediment migration from the site into nearby water bodies. Surface runoff will be controlled by using siltation controls such as silt fencing around the construction site to minimize erosion of materials into adjacent wetlands and/or waterways. Any disturbed soil will be protected with seed or sod after construction in order to decrease the amount of soil eroded by rainfall and runoff. Any fill stored on site will be appropriately covered to prevent erosion.

Discharges of gray water will be piped to the western boundary of the group site and emptied into Bayou Lafourche. The facility will be required to obtain all permits required for the discharge of sanitary wastewater. Based on the levels of permitting, the maximum level of discharge would not exceed 100,000 gallons per day.

In accordance with Section 401 and 402 of the CWA and the Louisiana Clean Water Code, coverage under the LPDES Storm Water General Permit for Large Construction Activities (greater than 5 acres) will be obtained for Chiasson Group Housing Site construction activities. Coverage under the LPDES Storm Water General Permit for Large Construction Activities will implement a SWPPP that will meet the requirements of approved TMDLs for Bayou Lafourche.

Contractors will comply with state and federal regulations for the point source pollutants created by packaging plants into surface water to also include existing streams.

The Chiasson Group Housing Site is located within 5 miles of Bayou Lafourche and Bayou L'Eau Bleu, two impaired waters, which are waterbodies or streams that are too polluted or otherwise degraded to meet the water quality standards set by states, territories, or authorized tribes. These actions would prevent any degradation of water quality from the construction and operational discharges.

The Proposed Action Alternative would have no significant impacts to water quality in the area of the site.

5.2.2 Wetlands

The CWA and EO 11990 define wetlands as “those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.”

This section also addresses regulated streams (non-wetland waters) that are subject to jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Alternative 1 - No Action Alternative

The No Action Alternative will have no impact on wetlands or waters of the US and will not require permits under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

Alternative 2 – Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHSs (Proposed Action)

The Chiasson property consists of 101 acres of farmland, with regulated wetlands and regulated non-wetlands (streams). The western half of the property adjoins Highway 308 and is currently in agricultural production. The eastern half of the property is regulated wetlands (PFO1Cd) and regulated streams. The proposed work site will be located on 45-acres of farmland on the western half of the site.

By letter dated December 15, 2021, the US Army Corps of Engineers, New Orleans District, stated the proposed work is located in uplands and is not subject to jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

In addition, based on the United States Fish and Wildlife Services (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map accessed on January 5, 2022, available online at (<https://fws.gov/wetlands/>), wetlands are identified on the Chiasson property. However, these areas will be avoided by the proposed construction for the mobile home group housing. No waters or wetlands of the US would be impacted by the Proposed Action.

5.2.3 Ground Water

A Sole Source Aquifer (SSA) is an underground water source that has been designated by the EPA as the sole or principal source of drinking water for an area. By definition, SSA is an aquifer that supplies at least 50% of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer.

The SSA Program is authorized by Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) of 1974. Designation of an aquifer as a SSA provides EPA with the authority to review federal financially assisted projects planned for the area to determine their potential for contaminating the aquifer. This provides essential groundwater protection to ensure the storage, handling, or use of fertilizers, pesticides, or hazardous products do not pollute an SSA.

Federally funded projects reviewed by EPA under the SSA Program may include, but are not limited to, highway improvements and new road construction, public water supply wells, transmission lines, wastewater treatment facilities, construction projects involving disposal of storm water, and agricultural projects involving management of animal waste.

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

No impacts to groundwater would occur as a result of no federal actions.

Alternative 2 - Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

A review of the website <https://www.epa.gov/dwssa/map-sole-source-aquifer-locations> with plot coordinates for the Chiasson Group Site, on January 7, 2022, revealed that there is no sole source aquifer on this site.

The primary sources of groundwater in Lafourche Parish include the Mississippi River alluvial aquifer system and underlying Gramercy aquifer. The Mississippi River alluvial aquifer contains saltwater throughout Lafourche Parish. It is composed of fine to medium sand at the top of the aquifer, which grades to coarse sand and gravel in the lower part. Overlying the alluvial aquifer is a clayey surface. The base of the aquifer ranges from 50 to 450 feet. The aquifer discharges into rivers, canals, and lakes, and is also withdrawn by wells. The aquifer receives recharge from the Mississippi River on a seasonal basis and is also recharged by infiltration of rainfall, as well as vertical leakage from the underlying Gramercy aquifer. The Gramercy aquifer contains fresh groundwater in a small area of the northeastern tip of the parish and is the only known fresh groundwater underlying the parish. Recharge to the Gramercy aquifer is primarily from rainfall, but it also receives leakage from the overlying Mississippi River alluvial aquifer.

The primary source of fresh water in Lafourche Parish is the Bayou Lafourche, which is supplied with water from the Mississippi River at Donaldsonville LA. In 2010, Bayou Lafourche supplied all of the water used for public supply and accounted for nearly 60 percent of surface-water withdrawals (primarily used for industrial cooling purposes) in Lafourche Parish.

Under the Proposed Action Alternative, construction activities are not anticipated to directly impact groundwater. The depth of excavation and grading at the Chiasson Group Site would not exceed a maximum of ten (10) feet for a gravity sewer and would otherwise be limited to the least extent necessary to facilitate construction and to comply with building code requirements. This depth for utilities is relatively shallow and unlikely to impact ground water resources. Possible sources of groundwater contamination associated with site construction and Group Site operations would be mitigated by appropriate BMPs (See Section 7 for BMPs).

5.2.4 Floodplains

EO 11988, Floodplain Management, requires Federal agencies to avoid to the extent possible the long and short-term adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains and to avoid direct or indirect support of floodplain development wherever there is a

practicable alternative. FEMA's regulations for complying with EO 11988 are found at 44 CFR Part 9, Floodplain Management and Protection of Wetlands.

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, there would be no short- or long-term impacts to floodplains as a result of no federal actions.

Alternative 2 - Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

Currently, there are 37 group site locations in Lafourche Parish that have undergone a review. It has been determined that 28 of these are not practicable, either being actively used, located in a wetland, not practicable in terms of cost, or the owner(s) is not interested in leasing the property to FEMA. FEMA is continuing with the NEPA review process for the other 9 sites which are located in the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA).

FEMA has applied the 8-step process found in 44 CFR Part 9, and determined that there is no practicable alternative to building in the floodplain in Lafourche Parish (see Attachment #2). Sites with shallower Base Flood Elevation (BFE) depths will be pursued for development before moving to sites with progressively deeper BFE depths. BFE is the elevation of surface water resulting from a flood that has a 1% chance of equaling or exceeding that level in any given year.

For the Chiasson Group Site, the proposed project would satisfy 208 MHUs of the 410 needed units for the Lafourche Parish. Based on the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel number 22057C0400E (Figure 3) , with an effective date of June 06, 2021, accessed on January 20, 2022, at (<https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home>), the proposed project is located in Zone AE, which is within the SFHA. An AE zone is an area having special flood, mudflow or flood-related erosion hazards and shown on a FIRM.

To mitigate environmental, safety, and health risks to the occupants and the floodplain, MHUs will be elevated to the maximum height practicable. Coordination with the local floodplain administrator will occur prior to placement. Occupants will be provided with flood hazard safety protocols and included in local emergency evacuation plans.

(BMPs) will be implemented during site development to minimize sediment migration from the site into nearby water bodies. Surface runoff will be controlled by using siltation controls such as silt fencing around the construction site to minimize erosion of materials into adjacent wetlands and/or waterways. Any disturbed open soil will be protected with seed or sod after construction in order to decrease the amount of soil eroded by rainfall and runoff. Any fill temporarily stored on site will be appropriately covered to prevent erosion.

FEMA conducted the 8-step process under 44 C.F.R. § 9.6, and has determined that constructing the Chiasson Group Housing Site in the AE Zone would result in minimal impacts to and within floodplains provided certain BMPs are followed (see Section 7.0 Mitigation) and (Attachment 2). In addition, MHUs are temporary housing solutions that are not anticipated to remain in place beyond 18 months.

5.3 Coastal Zone Management

The Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) (P.L. 92-583, as amended; 16 U.S.C. Section 1451-1464) encourages the management of coastal zone areas and provides grants to be used in maintaining these areas. It requires that Federal agencies be consistent in enforcing the policies of state coastal zone management programs when conducting or supporting activities that affect a coastal zone. This is intended to ensure that Federal activities are consistent with state programs for the protection and, where possible, enhancement of the nation's coastal zones. The Act's definition of a coastal zone includes coastal waters extending to the outer limit of state submerged land title and ownership, adjacent shorelines, and land extending inward to the extent necessary to control shorelines. A coastal zone includes islands, beaches, transitional and intertidal areas, and salt marshes.

The CZMA requires that coastal states develop a State Coastal Zone Management Plan or program and that any Federal agency conducting or supporting activities affecting the coastal zone conduct or support those activities in a manner consistent with the approved state plan or program. To comply with the CZMA, a Federal agency must identify activities that would affect the coastal zone, including development projects, and must review the State Coastal Zone Management Plan to determine whether a proposed activity would be consistent with the plan.

Pursuant to the CZMA, the State and Local Coastal Resources Management Act of 1978 (LA Revised Statute [R.S.] 49:214:21 et seq. Act 1978, No. 361) is the state of Louisiana's legislation creating the Louisiana Coastal Resources Program (LCRP). The LCRP establishes policy for activities including construction in the coastal zone, defines and updates the coastal zone boundary, and creates regulatory processes. The LCRP is under the authority of the LDNR Office of Coastal Management (OCM). If a proposed action is within the coastal zone boundary, OCM will review the eligibility of the project prior to its review from other Federal agencies (USACE, USFWS, and National Marine Fisheries Service [NMFS]). The mechanism used to review these projects is the Coastal Use Permit (CUP). Per the CZMA, all proposed Federal projects within the coastal zone must undergo a "Consistency Determination" by OCM for that project's consistency with the state's Coastal Resource Program (i.e., LCRP).

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

The No Action Alternative would entail no activity and, therefore, would have no impact on the coastal zone as a result of no federal actions.

Alternative 2 - Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

The Proposed Action Alternative would involve construction in a designated coastal zone. The Office of Coastal Management (OCM) determined in a letter dated September 28, 2012, that all Federal financial assistance (emergency or not) is fully consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resources Program. FEMA submitted a Consistency Determination letter to the OCM dated September 29, 2021, that indicated project(s) in the following Individual Assistance (IA) designated parishes: Jefferson, Lafourche, Livingston, Plaquemines, St. Charles, St. Helena, St.

James, St. John the Baptist, Tangipahoa, and Terrebonne Parish will not affect any coastal uses or resources in accordance with 15 CFR 930.36. In a OCM response letter dated September 29, 2021 (Consistency number C20210142), the OCM concurred with FEMA’s negative determination, as described by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) regulations on federal consistency at 15 CFR § 930 (see Attachment 4).

5.4 Threatened and Endangered Species and Critical Habitat

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 directs Federal agencies to utilize their authorities in furtherance of the purposes of the Act by carrying out programs for the conservation of listed species or designated critical habitats. The USFWS and the U.S. National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) are the agencies referred to as the “Services” that regulate compliance with the Endangered Species Act. The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA) governs fisheries management for up to 200 miles offshore (the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone). The NMFS is a part of NOAA and is responsible for implementing the MSA to ensure that U.S. fisheries comply with a wide range of conservation and management requirements.

Migratory birds are protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA). Any activity that results in the take of migratory birds or eagles is prohibited unless authorized by the USFWS. There are no provisions for allowing the take of migratory birds that are unintentionally killed or injured. Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in the take of migratory birds is responsible in complying with the appropriate regulations and implementing appropriate conservation measures.

Table 1: Federally Listed Species Known to Occur in Lafourche Parish

West Indian Manatee (<i>Trichechus manatus</i>)	Threatened	Found in marine, estuarine, and freshwater environments with a strong preference for warm and well-vegetated waters.	No; there is no suitable habitat present within the project area.

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, no project would be constructed; therefore, no impacts on species federally listed as threatened or endangered, or federally listed critical habitats would occur as a result of no federal actions.

Alternative 2 - Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

There is one Federally listed species, the West Indian Manatee, with the potential to occur in Lafourche Parish, Louisiana (see Table 1); however, this species does not have the potential to

occur within the Chiasson Group Site project area. Per USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) website, there are no critical habitat for this species within the project area.

Inspection of the proposed site did not indicate the presence of any species federally listed as threatened or endangered. The USFWS's IPaC website (<https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/>), accessed on January 12, 2022, was reviewed for a list of threatened and endangered species in the project area. While these listed species can occur in Lafourche Parish, the proposed group housing site does not contain suitable habitat for any of these listed species.

A search of the USFWS Critical Habitat online mapper and ArcGIS Map on January 14, 2022, resulted in a finding of no designated critical habitats in the project area. The following webpages were reviewed for critical habitats in the project area:

- <https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/report/table/critical-habitat.html>
- <https://fws.maps.arcgis.com/home/index.html>

Based on the scope of work and lack of suitable habitat at the project site, FEMA has made the determination that the Proposed Action Alternative would have no effect on federally listed species and would not adversely modify critical habitat.

5.5 Cultural Resources

The consideration of impacts to historic and cultural resources is mandated under Section 101(b)(4) of NEPA as implemented by 40 CFR Parts 1501-1508. In addition, providing Direct Temporary Housing Assistance in the form of constructing TTHU Group Sites meets the definition of a Federal Undertaking pursuant to Title 36 Code of Federal Regulations Part 800. Accordingly, FEMA is required to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). FEMA is initiating Section 106 review for the Undertaking in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement between FEMA, the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), the Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness (GOHSEP), and Participating Tribes, executed on December 21, 2016, as amended (Agreement). Due to the emergency need to find safe housing locations for the many survivors, FEMA is consulting per 36 CFR § 800.12(d) and Stipulation II.B.2 of the Agreement regarding Expedited Review for Emergency Undertakings.

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, there would be no impacts to historic properties as a result of no federal actions.

Alternative 2 - Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

FEMA has determined the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the proposed Undertaking to include the footprint of the group site for direct effects related to the proposed work (project area), and a

quarter mile (1/4 mile) viewshed APE measured from the north and south boundaries of the group site. The east side of the APE is bounded by backswamp and the west side is bounded by Highway 308 and Bayou Lafourche. To the northeast there are several newer homes built circa 1998 and to the southeast there is a housing development built around 2008. The proposed group site is in active farmland.

During the week of February 9, 2022, FEMA Historic Preservation Specialists consulted the Louisiana Office of Cultural Development's Cultural Resources National Register database, the Louisiana Cultural Resources Map and associated site files (Louisiana Division of Archaeology website), the US Department of Agriculture Web Soil Survey (USDA website), various digital archival resources, photos, historic maps, and FEMA's internal files to identify the presence of historic properties within the APE.

FEMA has determined there are six (6) historic properties in the APE as defined in 36 CFR 800.16(l). Due to the temporary nature and intermittent use of the proposed housing, the historic properties within the APE would not be adversely affected by the proposed Undertaking. In addition, avoidance measures have been implemented to limit ground disturbance in areas with a higher potential for archaeological resources. Therefore, FEMA has determined a finding of No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties in accordance with Stipulation II.C.4 of the 2016 Statewide PA. FEMA would condition its approval of the group site on the following:

If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) are discovered, the Contractor shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The Contractor shall inform their Individual Assistance (IA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The Contractor will not proceed with work until FEMA HP completes consultation with the SHPO, and others as appropriate.

On February 24, 2022, a letter was sent to the SHPO for Section 106 consultation regarding the Undertaking (see Attachment 1). The same consultation letter was also sent to Participating Tribal Nations. SHPO concurrence was received February 25, 2022. The five (5) Tribal Nations (Coushatta Tribe of LA, Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma, Jena Band of Choctaw Indians, Mississippi Band of Choctaw, and the Tunica-Biloxi Tribe of LA) did not provide a response during the 7-day comment period. The Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma and the Jena Band of Choctaw Indians responded after the 7-day comment period. As such, FEMA may proceed with the Undertaking.

5.6 Socioeconomic Resources

The project site is located in Lafourche Parish, Louisiana. According to the United States Census Bureau (USCB), the population as of April 2020, was 97,557 with a total of 36,895 households. The median household income was estimated at approximately \$55,506 (in 2019 dollars). According to the 2019 USCB Estimates, approximately 14.5% of population lives below poverty levels.

The population within the Lafourche Parish, Louisiana, is comprised of about 80.5% Caucasian, 13.5% African American, 0.9% Asian, 4.4% Hispanic, 3.0 % American Indian and Alaska Native alone, 0.1% Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone, and 2.0% Two or more races. The

demographic makeup of the group site residents is expected to be similar to the community as a whole (Table 2).

Table 2: Project Population Data¹

Area	Population ²	White (Caucasian) Alone	Black or African American Alone	Asian Alone	Hispanic or Latino	American Indian and Alaska Native Alone	Native Hawaiian n and Other Pacific Islander Alone	Two or More Races	Poverty Rate ³	Households	Median Household Income ⁴
Lafourche Parish	97,557	80.5%	13.5%	0.9%	4.4%	3.0%	0.1%	2.0%	14.5%	36,895	\$55,506
Louisiana	4,624,047	62.8%	32.8%	1.8%	5.3%	0.8%	0.1%	1.8%	17.8%	1,739,497	\$49,469

¹ Data Source, USCB 2021 American Community Survey (ACS), 5-year estimates. Estimates are not comparable to other geographic levels due to methodology differences that may exist between different data sources

² USCB ACS, Vintage 2020 Population Estimates, July 1, 2020

³ USCB 2019 ACS, 1-year estimates. Estimates are not comparable to other geographic levels of poverty estimates.

⁴ USCB ACS, Median Household Income (in 2019 dollars) and Households, 2015-2019

5.6.1 Environmental Justice

EO 12898, entitled “Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations,” was signed on February 11, 1994. The EO directs Federal agencies to make achieving environmental justice part of their missions by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high adverse human health, environmental, economic, and social effects of their programs, policies, and activities on minority and/or low-income populations.

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

The No Action Alternative would preclude the federal government from adequately addressing the urgency of providing temporary and transient emergency housing. Consequently, displaced disaster survivors would have to remain in the temporary housing they have acquired through their own resources and possibly far from their original home. The recovery of flood survivors and their communities would be further compounded by fewer housing options. They would continue to suffer social and economic stresses related to the disaster recovery.

Alternative 2 - Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

The availability of federal assistance, including temporary housing for displaced individuals, is consistent with EO 12898. All forms of FEMA disaster housing assistance are available to any affected household that meets the conditions of eligibility. This group-housing site is a temporary housing solution. Therefore, long-term adverse effects to minority and/or low-income populations would not be expected.

The availability of temporary housing would result in a positive impact to displaced individuals, regardless of whether they are minority and/or low income. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not pose disproportionately high and adverse public health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations.

The Proposed Action would utilize the project site and design to contract for the construction of approximately 208 manufactured housing unit pads and all necessary support facilities. The potential site residents would be from areas within the parish which have been impacted by the flooding. The local community is aware of this action and may experience a slight localized increase in the need for public services, such as schools, fire and police services, childcare, and medical services. However, the overall demand for public and commercial services is not expected to be greater than the pre-disaster demand and potential impacts are expected to be minimal.

5.7 Hazardous Materials

The management of hazardous materials is regulated under various Federal and state environmental and transportation laws and regulations, including but not limited to Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA); Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation Liability Act (CERCLA); the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA); the

Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know provisions of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (EPCRA); the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act (HMTA); and the Louisiana Voluntary Investigation and Remedial Action statute.

The purpose of the regulatory requirements set forth under these laws is to ensure the protection of human health and the environment through proper management (identification, use, storage, treatment, transport, and disposal) of these materials. Some of the laws provide for the investigation and cleanup of sites already contaminated by releases of hazardous materials, wastes, or substances.

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

No impacts from hazardous materials are expected as a result of no federal actions.

Alternative 2 - Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

Upon review of the Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) Report with GeoCheck© on January 5, 2022, one (1) surrounding property was identified. Within approximately 0.5 mile of the proposed Chiasson Group site location there is one (1) facility that has an underground storage tank (UST) that was installed in March 1952 and closed in January 1980.

There is no evidence of past or existing releases or any material threat of release of hazardous substances or petroleum products within one mile of the target property. Any unusable equipment, debris, and material on site would be disposed of prior to occupancy in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project, petroleum products, hazardous materials, and toxic waste will be handled, managed, and disposed of in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state, and federal agencies.

5.8 Traffic and Transportation

Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LDOTD) is responsible for maintaining public transportation, state highways, interstate highways under state jurisdiction, and bridges located within the state of Louisiana. These duties include the planning, design, and building of new highways in addition to the maintenance and upgrading of current highways. Roads not part of any highway system usually fall under the jurisdiction of and are maintained by applicable local government entities; however, the LDOTD is responsible for ensuring all local agency Federal-aid projects comply with all applicable Federal and state requirements.

The project area is adjacent to LA Highway 308, approximately 2 miles South of Lockport, LA and 8 miles North of Larose, LA. Highway 308 connects to US Route 90 a major East/West artery through Mississippi, Alabama, and Texas, that parallels Interstate I-10.

Alternative 1- No Action Alternative

Implementation of the No Action Alternative would not adversely affect the site traffic patterns, as no construction or other activities that would impact traffic would occur as a result of no federal actions.

Alternative 2 - Develop the Chiasson Group Site with MHUs (Proposed Action)

Under the Proposed Action, a temporary increase in construction-related traffic during the group site construction would occur. Impacts related to these construction activities would be minor and temporary. This site has been approved by the Parish for this temporary housing use.

All reasonable precautions to control site access will be taken during construction. All activities would be conducted in a safe manner in accordance with Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) work zone traffic safety requirements. The appropriate signage will be posted and fencing installed to minimize potential adverse public safety concerns. Appropriate signage and barriers will be in place prior to construction activities in order to alert pedestrians and motorists of project activities and traffic pattern changes. Traffic impacts from construction activities would be considered minor. The LDOTD and Parish will be coordinated with in the planning and construction of this group site, to establish appropriate traffic safety measures and management protocols for the area.

6.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Public involvement is being performed in compliance with the NEPA, FEMA's Instruction 108-1-1 for implementing NEPA, and EOs 12898, 11988, and 11990. FEMA has prepared a public notice for public comment and public review for the Draft EA. FEMA has requested for posting of the notice on the websites of GOHSEP, Lafourche Parish, and Lafourche Parish Public Library, and a bulletin be posted at Lockport Town Hall. This Draft EA is available at the following website <https://www.fema.gov/emergency-managers/practitioners/environmental-historic/region/6>.

The public comment period will be limited to three (3) days from 8am March 16, 2022 through March 18, 2022 at 5pm (Central Standard Time), due to the emergency nature of this action and need to provide temporary housing solutions for survivors of Hurricane Ida.

Written comments on the Draft EA can be sent via email to dr-4611-fema-ehp-ia@fema.dhs.gov. When responding by email, please reference the project name, "**Temporary Housing – Chiasson Group Housing Site (LF-3)**" in the subject field. If no substantive comments are received, the Draft EA and FONSI will become final and no further public noticing will be conducted.

7.0 MITIGATION

Construction of the Proposed Action was analyzed based on the studies, consultations, and reviews undertaken as reported in this EA. The findings of this EA conclude that no significant adverse impacts on human, natural and cultural resources are anticipated from the Proposed Action. During project construction, short-term impacts on noise are anticipated and the conditions listed in this Draft EA will mitigate and minimize these effects. Project short-term adverse impacts would be mitigated using BMPs, such as proper vehicle and equipment maintenance, and appropriate signage. Furthermore, given the Proposed Action is temporary (up to 18 months), no long-term adverse impacts are anticipated from the proposed project.

The following conditions must be met as part of this project:

- Any change to the approved scope of work will require re-evaluation for compliance with NEPA and other Laws and Executive Orders.
- This review does not address all federal, state, and local requirements. Acceptance of federal funding requires recipient to comply with all federal, state, and local laws. Failure to obtain all appropriate federal, state, and local environmental permits and clearances may jeopardize federal funding.
- Coordination with the local floodplain administrator must occur prior to MHU placement. All coordination pertaining to these activities and applicant compliance with any conditions should be documented and copies forwarded to the state and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.
- Compliance with State Regulations: Placement of MHUs or other readily fabricated dwellings must be in accordance and in compliance with Louisiana regulations.
- Local Permitting and Codes: Any FEMA units will be installed in compliance with applicable local codes, ordinances and permitting requirements. Any contracted logistics installation entities (installers) for TTHU placement will secure all pertinent Federal, state, and local permits and approvals before work.
- Health and Safety: Before unit occupancy, the responsible program, will provide applicants with a Health and Safety Advisory regarding the flood hazard, local emergency evacuation plans, right-of-entry during an emergency, and possible unit haul off.
- The responsible program will ensure the local emergency manager has information regarding location of TTHU occupants and potential special needs, to integrate into local emergency plans.

- Appropriate best management practices will be implemented during site development to minimize sediment migration from the site into nearby water bodies. Surface runoff will be controlled by using siltation controls such as silt fencing around the construction site to minimize erosion of materials into adjacent wetlands and/or waterways. Any disturbed soil will be protected with seed or sod after construction in order to decrease the amount of soil eroded by rainfall and runoff. Any fill stored on site will be appropriately covered to prevent erosion.
- The Contractor shall comply with the requirements for a point source discharge to waters of the State, a Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (LPDES) permit may be required in accordance with the Section 401 and 402 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the Louisiana Clean Water Code.
- The Contractor shall comply with the requirements of the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) to observe precautions to control non-point source pollution, point-source pollution, reduce erosion, and develop a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and implement the required conditions.
- If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) are discovered, the Contractor shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The Contractor shall inform their Individual Assistance (IA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The Contractor will not proceed with work until FEMA HP completes consultation with the SHPO, and others as appropriate.
- Unusable equipment, debris and material will be removed or disposed of prior to occupancy in an approved manner and location.
- In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project, petroleum products, hazardous materials, and toxic waste will be handled, managed, and disposed of in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state, and federal agencies.
- Construction activities with elevated noise levels will be limited from 7:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M, unless otherwise approved by the Parish. Equipment and machinery used during construction will meet all local, State, and Federal noise regulations.
- The LDOTD and Parish will be coordinated with in the planning and construction of this group site, to establish appropriate traffic safety measures and management protocols for the area.
- The appropriate signage must be posted, and fencing installed to minimize potential adverse public safety concerns. Appropriate signage and barriers will be in place prior to construction activities in order to alert pedestrians and motorists of project activities and traffic pattern changes.

- Once the temporary housing need has ended, FEMA expects that all manufactured housing units (MHUs) would be hauled from the site in accordance with Section 408(d)(2) of the Stafford Act and returned to a FEMA storage facility. Furthermore, the project site would be either reasonably restored to its previous condition and then seeded or left with the site improvements per the lease terms negotiated between the GSA with the landowner.
- MHUs shall comply with 24 CFR Part 3280 Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Standards (“Department of Housing and Urban Development [HUD] code”).
- Work will comply with all conditions of U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Nationwide Permits, if required.

8.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

EA Preparer(s):

- Charles Barrowclough, Environmental Protection Specialist, FEMA
- John Hatch, Historic Preservation Specialist, FEMA
- Ron Love, Environmental Protection Specialist, FEMA
- Michael Bell, Environmental Protection Specialist, FEMA

Field Team:

- Jody Craig, Temporary Housing Electrical Engineer

Reviewers:

- Byron Flournoy, Environmental Protection Specialist, FEMA
- Alice Murphy, Environmental Protection Specialist, FEMA

Deputy Housing EHP Advisor (EHAD):

- Adam Borden, IM-CORE EHP Advisor, Office of Environmental Planning and Historic Preservation

Table 3: Summary Table—Affected Environment, Impacts, and Mitigation

Resource Area	Alternative 1- No Action Alternative	Alternative 2 - Proposed Action: Develop the Group Site with MHUs	Mitigation	Agency Coordination/ Permits
Soils	No change from current conditions.	Two (2) of the soils at the Chiasson site are considered prime farmland. FEMA's rating resulted in a total of 50 out of 160. In accordance with 7 CFR 658.4(c)(2), "sites receiving a total score of less than 160 (combined FEMA score total and NRCS score total) need not be given further consideration for protection and no additional sites need to be evaluated."	No mitigation required	No agency coordination
Water Quality	No change from current conditions.	There is potential for localized increase in sedimentation as a result of site preparation activities. Potential impact to water quality in downstream swales, ditches, and streams (e.g., turbidity, siltation, biological oxygen demand).	Appropriate BMPs will be implemented during site development to minimize sediment migration from the site into nearby water bodies. Surface runoff will be controlled by using siltation controls such as silt fencing around the construction site to minimize erosion of materials into adjacent wetlands and/or waterways. Any disturbed soil will be protected with seed or sod after construction in order to decrease the amount of soil eroded by rainfall and runoff. Any fill stored on site will be appropriately covered to prevent erosion. If the project results in a discharge to waters of the State, a Louisiana Pollution Elimination System (LPDES) permit may be required in accordance with the Section 401 of the CWA and the Louisiana Clean Water Code.	LDEQ may require a LPDES permit in accordance with the Sections 401 and 402 of the CWA and the Louisiana Clean Water Code.

Resource Area	Alternative 1- No Action Alternative	Alternative 2 - Proposed Action: Develop the Group Site with MHUs	Mitigation	Agency Coordination/ Permits
Wetlands	No change from current conditions.	Non-delineated wetland areas on site will be avoided and therefore there will be no impacts to wetlands.	No MHUs will be installed on the Project site where wetlands occur.	USACE
Floodplains	No change from current conditions.	MHUs would be installed within the 100-year floodplain.	MHUs will be elevated to the maximum height practicable. Coordination with the local floodplain administrator will occur prior to placement. Occupants will be provided with flood hazard safety protocols and included in local emergency evacuation plans.	No Agency Considerations
Coastal Zone Management	No change from current conditions.		No mitigation required	In a OCM response letter dated September 29, 2021 (Consistency number C20210142), the OCM concurred with FEMA's negative determination, as described by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) regulations on federal consistency at 15 CFR §930
Ground Water	No change from current conditions.	Under the Proposed Action, there are no anticipated direct impacts to groundwater.	No mitigation required	Department of Health, and LDEQ
Threatened and Endangered Species and Critical Habitat	No change from current conditions.	No effects to Threatened and Endangered Species and no Critical Habitat on the Project Site.	No mitigation required	No agency coordination

Resource Area	Alternative 1- No Action Alternative	Alternative 2 - Proposed Action: Develop the Group Site with MHUs	Mitigation	Agency Coordination/ Permits
Cultural Resources	No change from current conditions.	Due to the emergency need to find safe housing locations for the many survivors, FEMA will follow Stipulation II.B.2 of the Agreement regarding Expedited Review for Emergency Undertakings. FEMA has determined that there would be no adverse effect to historic properties as a result of the Proposed Action. The SHPO concurred with this determination on February 25, 2022.	If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) are discovered, the Contractor shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The Contractor shall inform their Individual Assistance (IA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The Contractor will not proceed with work until FEMA HP completes consultation with the SHPO, and others as appropriate.	FEMA has consulted with SHPO and obtained concurrence on February 25, 2022. FEMA sent a consultation letter to Coushatta Tribe of Louisiana, Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma, Jena Band of Choctaw Indians, Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians, Tunica-Biloxi Tribe of Louisiana but they did not respond in the seven day comment period. The Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma provided written concurrence on March 7, 2022, and the Jena Band of Choctaw Indians on March 9, 2022.
Environmental Justice	No change from current conditions.	The Proposed Action would not pose disproportionately high and adverse public health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations.	No mitigation required	No agency coordination

Resource Area	Alternative 1- No Action Alternative	Alternative 2 - Proposed Action: Develop the Group Site with MHUs	Mitigation	Agency Coordination/ Permits
Hazardous Materials	No change from current conditions.	Under the Proposed Action, there are no anticipated impacts from hazardous materials and hazardous substances.	Unusable equipment, debris and material will be disposed of prior to occupancy in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project, petroleum products, hazardous materials, and toxic waste will be handled, managed, and disposed of in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state, and federal agencies.	No agency coordination
Traffic and Transportation	No change from current conditions.	Under the Proposed Action, a temporary increase in construction-related traffic during the group site construction. Once the Proposed Action has been completed, traffic would be expected to return to normal.	The appropriate signage must be posted, and fencing installed to minimize potential adverse public safety concerns. The LDOTD and Parish will be coordinated with in the planning and construction of this group site, to establish appropriate traffic safety measures and management protocols for the area.	LDOTD

Figures



Figure 1: Aerial Photo and Vicinity of Proposed Chiasson Property (LF-3) Group Site



Figure 2: Chiasson Group Site Proposed Layout



Figure 3: Chiasson Group Site Flood Insurance Rate Map



Figure 4: Photograph of Existing Site Conditions at the Proposed Chiasson LF-3 Group Site Facing East



Figure 5: Photograph of Existing Site Conditions at the Proposed Chiasson LF-3 Group Site Facing North

Attachments

No known historic properties will be affected by this undertaking. Therefore, our office has no objection to the implementation of this project. This effect determination could change should new information come to our attention.



Kristin P. Sanders
State Historic Preservation Officer
Date 2/25/2022

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Federal Emergency Management Agency
FEMA-State Joint Field Office
FEMA-4611-DR-LA
1500 Main Street
Baton Rouge, LA 70802



FEMA

February 24, 2022

Kristin Sanders
State Historic Preservation Officer
Department of Culture, Recreation & Tourism
1051 North Third Street
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Re: Section 106 Consultation, Expedited Review, Hurricane Ida, FEMA DR 4611-LA
Temporary Housing Group Site – Chiasson Property (NE Corner GPS Coordinates 29.628988,
-90.502882) Lafourche Parish, Louisiana

Determination: No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties

Dear Ms. Sanders:

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) will be providing funds authorized under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, or "Stafford Act," P.L. 93-288, as amended, in response to Disaster Declaration FEMA DR4611-LA for Hurricane Ida, dated August 29, 2021. Section 408(c)(1)(B) of the Stafford Act, as implemented in 44 C.F.R. Part 206, authorizes FEMA to provide Direct Temporary Housing Assistance or "Direct Assistance" for temporary housing due to a lack of available housing resources. FEMA is authorized to provide Direct Assistance in Ascension, Assumption, East Baton Rouge, East Feliciana, Iberia, Iberville, Jefferson, Lafourche, Livingston, Orleans, Plaquemines, Pointe Coupee, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. Helena, St. James, St. John the Baptist, St. Martin, St. Mary, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa, Terrebonne, Washington, West Baton Rouge, and West Feliciana Parishes under the Individuals and Households Program.

FEMA is initiating Section 106 review for the above referenced Undertaking in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement among FEMA, the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), the Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness (GOHSEP), and Participating Tribes, executed on December 21, 2016 (as amended 2020). Due to the emergency need to find safe housing locations for the many survivors, FEMA is consulting per 36 CFR § 800.12(d)) and Stipulation II.B.2 of the 2016 Statewide PA regarding Expedited Review for Emergency Undertakings.

As a result of the damage caused by Hurricane Ida, approximately 2,209 survivors will require temporary housing assistance in Lafourche Parish. FEMA estimates the need to provide

approximately 1,187 housing solutions in Lafourche Parish through the placement of Transportable Temporary Housing Units (TTHU) within multiple group sites.

FEMA is initiating consultation for one (1) potential group site in Lafourche Parish with this consultation letter. The potential group site, called Chiasson Property, will be located on parcels 0092631700 and 0092631800 east of Highway 308 and north of Okra Lane (Figure 1). The approximate number of TTHUs, project area size in acres, and associated figure numbers for the proposed group site are included in Table 1.

The Undertaking will require grading and leveling for the installation of roads and individual gravel site pads to support approximately 207 TTHUs at Chiasson Property. Excavation for the installation of subsurface water, sanitation, and electric utilities will be required for each individual TTHU and will extend as required to connect to existing utility tie-ins at the adjacent roadway within existing right of way. Access roads for ingress and egress to and from the site and circulation roads to allow access to each individual unit will be constructed. Additional proposed construction includes gravel pads for parking and trash and concrete parking pads for units with residents requiring upgrades to meet ADA compliance requirements. Site lighting and a perimeter privacy fence will be installed. Figure 2 shows the proposed site plan for the TTHUs as developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).

Equipment staging will be limited to the 42.5-acre group site boundary and will not extend to any undisturbed ground adjacent to the group site. Debris generated during construction will be removed to an existing, licensed landfill. Any fill will be obtained from an existing commercial borrow source. The exact depth of excavation and grading at the group sites is unknown but will not likely exceed 1.07 meters (3.5 ft) for utility trenching and will otherwise be limited to the least extent necessary to facilitate construction and to comply with building code requirements. Access and utilities will be made from Highway 308 by an existing field access road. The TTHUs will likely be removed once more permanent housing solutions are found for displaced individuals and families. However, site improvements such as underground utilities, gravel and concrete pads, the perimeter fence, and access and circulation roads may remain.

Table 1: Proposed Group Site

Site Name	Figures	City	Parish	Parcel #	Project Area (Acres)	No. TTHUs	Coordinates
Chiasson Property	1-7	Lockport	Lafourche	0092631700, 0092631800	42.5	207 (168 MHUs, 39 UFAS)	29.628988, -90.502882, 29.642506, -90.485504, 29.641043, -90.484203, 29.627668, -90.501925

FEMA has determined the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the proposed Undertaking to include the footprint of the group site for direct effects related to the proposed work (project area), and a quarter mile (1/4 mile) viewshed APE measured from the north and south boundaries of the group site as depicted in Figure 3. The east side of the APE is bounded by backswamp and the west side is bounded by Highway 308 and Bayou Lafourche. To the northeast there are several newer homes built circa 1998 and to the southeast there is a housing development built around 2008. The proposed group site is in active

farmland. In accordance with Stipulation II.C(2)(b) of the 2016 Statewide PA, FEMA is requesting your concurrence with the proposed APE.

During the week of February 9, 2022, FEMA Historic Preservation Specialists consulted the Louisiana Office of Cultural Development's Cultural Resources National Register database, the Louisiana Cultural Resources Map and associated site files (LDOA website), the US Department of Agriculture Web Soil Survey (USDA website), various digital archival resources, photos, and historic maps to identify the presence of historic properties within the APE.

Three soil types are present in the project area (USDA website). Cancienne silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slope, comprises the majority of the area; it is classified as somewhat poorly drained bottomland hardwoods. Stratigraphy generally consists of a 0-23-inch thick plowzone above a silty clay loam subsoil. Lesser amounts of Cancienne silty clay loam, Schriever silty clay loam, and Schriever clay are also present. Schriever is classified as a poorly drained backswamp soil. All soils are classified as prime farmland. The group site is situated approximately 1,000 feet northeast of Bayou Lafourche. Given the poorly drained soils and repetitive agricultural disturbance within the plowzone, the area within the proposed group site boundary has a moderate to low potential for the presence of intact archaeological deposits.

Background research efforts identified no archaeological sites in the ¼ mile APE nor is not located in an eligible, or potentially eligible, historic district but research did identify five (5) previously recorded standing structures (Table 2), one (1) unsurveyed structure >45 years, and one (1) archaeological Assessment and Reconnaissance survey (McIntire 1954) in the APE. None of the identified standing structures are individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), nor are they currently located within a National Register Historic District or adjacent to any properties that have been listed or previously determined to be eligible for listing on the NRHP. Figure 4 shows the location of standing structures in the APE.

Table 2: Standing Structures in the APE

Standing Structure #	Address	Type	Year Built	Style	NRHP Eligibility
29-01587	7593 Hwy 308	Residential	circa 1930	Shotgun	Unassessed
29-01589	7707b Hwy 308	Residential	1925	Shotgun	Unassessed
29-01590	7731 Hwy 308	Residential	circa 1918	Bungalow	Demolished
29-01591	7757 Hwy 308	Residential	circa 1947	Bungalow	Unassessed
29-01592	7795 Hwy 308	Residential	circa 1939	Bungalow	Demolished
Not surveyed	7739 Hwy 308	Residential	circa 1920	Bungalow	Unassessed

Five previously recorded standing structures have been identified within the 1/4-mile APE (see Table 2). All structures are over 50 years in age, and none have been evaluated for inclusion in the NRHP. Two of the structures (29-01590; 29-01592) were razed in the twentieth century. A structure is depicted in the general location of 29-01590 on the 1892 topo map (Figure 5). All the recorded structures are on the north side of Bayou Lafourche and north of Highway 308, according to the Louisiana Cultural Resources Mapper. Views to the proposed group site from the two standing structures at the northwest extent of the APE (29-01587; 29-01589) are screened by

existing vegetation.

Standing structure 29-01591 is located on property that is adjacent to the proposed access road off Highway 308. The house has replacement siding, windows, and roof; it is separated from the current access road by a large ell-shaped drainage ditch. A structure is depicted in the general location on the 1941 and 1963 topo maps (Figures 6 and 7). One unsurveyed structure is located at 7739 Highway 308, on the northwest side of the project area and according to historic aerial imagery was moved there between 1962 and 1982.

No archaeological sites have been recorded in the APE. The nearest recorded sites are precontact sites located over 3.5 miles away to the [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] of the project area. No structures are present in the project area on historic maps. However, a disused segment of the Louisiana and Delta Railroad (LDRR), first constructed at the end of the nineteenth century, runs roughly southeast to northwest through the approximate center of the APE (see Figure 3). The lines for the rail were constructed between 1880 and 1890. LDRR currently operates over 114 miles of branch line in southern Louisiana between the cities of Lafayette and Raceland, just north of Lockport. The rail line has not been recorded as a site with the LA SHPO. According to the conceptual layout plan for the proposed group site location the old railroad line will be crossed in two locations. A 2020 aerial view shows that the tracks are still present but have not been used in a long time. The first depiction of the rail line is on the 1941 topo map; no structures are shown around the topo map, such as a depot or houses indicating that the train stopped in the vicinity of the project area. Therefore, it is unlikely that cultural resources associated with the rail line would be present in the project area.

[REDACTED] To reduce ground disturbance in these areas and minimize the potential to affect any unidentified archaeological properties if any were present, some design changes have been implemented in coordination with the USACE design team. [REDACTED]

FEMA has determined there are six (6) historic properties in the APE as defined in 36 CFR 800.16(I). Due to the temporary nature and intermittent use of the proposed housing, the historic properties within the APE would not be adversely affected by the proposed Undertaking. In addition, avoidance measures have been implemented to limit ground disturbance in areas with a higher potential for archaeological resources. Therefore, FEMA has determined a finding of **No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties** in accordance with Stipulation II.C.4 of the 2016 Statewide PA. FEMA would condition its approval of the group site on the following:

If during the course of work, archaeological artifacts (prehistoric or historic) are discovered, the Contractor shall stop work in the vicinity of the discovery and take all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds. The Contractor shall inform their Individual Assistance (IA) contacts at FEMA, who will in turn contact FEMA Historic Preservation (HP) staff. The Contractor will not proceed with work until FEMA

HP completes consultation with the SHPO, and others as appropriate.

Due to the urgent need to provide housing for displaced individuals and households, your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated. We respectfully request concurrence with the proposed APEs and with this determination within three (3) days from receipt of this consultation, per Stipulation II.B.2 of the 2016 Statewide PA. Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact FEMA Archaeologist John Hatch by phone at [REDACTED] or by email at [REDACTED], and FEMA Historic Preservation Lead Brandon Badinger by phone [REDACTED] or by email at [REDACTED] or me by phone at [REDACTED] or by email at [REDACTED].

Sincerely,

Adam Borden
EHP Advisor
Region 6
FEMA-State Joint Field Office
FEMA-4611-DR-LA
1500 Main St
Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Enclosures: USACE Plans



February 24, 2022

Re: Section 106 Consultation, Expedited Review, Hurricane Ida, FEMA DR 4611-LA
Temporary Housing Group Site – Chiasson Property (SW Corner GPS Coordinates 29.628988,
-90.502882) Lafourche Parish, Louisiana

Determination: No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties

To: Representatives of Federally recognized Tribes with Interest in this Project Area

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) will be providing funds authorized under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, or "Stafford Act," P.L. 93-288, as amended, in response to Disaster Declaration FEMA DR4611-LA for Hurricane Ida, dated August 29, 2021. Section 408(c)(1)(B) of the Stafford Act, as implemented in 44 C.F.R. Part 206, authorizes FEMA to provide Direct Temporary Housing Assistance or "Direct Assistance" for temporary housing due to a lack of available housing resources. FEMA is authorized to provide Direct Assistance in Ascension, Assumption, East Baton Rouge, East Feliciana, Iberia, Iberville, Jefferson, Lafourche, Livingston, Orleans, Plaquemines, Pointe Coupee, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. Helena, St. James, St. John the Baptist, St. Martin, St. Mary, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa, Terrebonne, Washington, West Baton Rouge, and West Feliciana Parishes under the Individuals and Households Program.

FEMA is initiating Section 106 review for the above referenced Undertaking in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement among FEMA, the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), the Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness (GOHSEP), and Participating Tribes, executed on December 21, 2016 (as amended 2020). Due to the emergency need to find safe housing locations for the many survivors, FEMA is consulting per 36 CFR § 800.12(d) and Stipulation II.B.2 of the 2016 Statewide PA regarding Expedited Review for Emergency Undertakings.

As a result of the damage caused by Hurricane Ida, approximately 2,209 survivors will require temporary housing assistance in Lafourche Parish. FEMA estimates the need to provide approximately 1,187 housing solutions in Lafourche Parish through the placement of Transportable Temporary Housing Units (TTHU) within multiple group sites.

FEMA is initiating consultation for one (1) potential group site in Lafourche Parish with this consultation letter. The potential group site, called Chiasson Property, will be located on parcels 0092631700 and 0092631800 east of Highway 308 and north of Okra Lane (Figure 1). The approximate number of TTHUs, project area size in acres, and associated figure numbers for the proposed group site are included in Table 1.

From: Alexa DiDio [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 1:32 PM
To: Borden, Adam [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: FEMA Expedited Emergency Section 106 Consultation for Chiasson Property, Lafourche Parish, Louisiana

Dear Mr. Borden,

Regarding the above-mentioned project, the Jena Band of Choctaw Indians' THPO hereby concurs with the determination of No Adverse Effect. Should any inadvertent discoveries or anticipated impacts occur, please contact all Tribes with interest in this area. Thank you.

For future reference, **please send all projects in Louisiana to me at this email address.** Thank you

Sincerely,

Alexa DiDio
Assistant THPO, Cultural Department
Jena Band of Choctaw Indians
[REDACTED]



EASTERN SHAWNEE CULTURAL PRESERVATION DEPARTMENT

March 7, 2022
FEMA

RE: FEMA DR 4611-LA Temporary Housing Group Site-Chiasson Property, Lafourche Parish County, LA

Dear Mr. Badinger,

The Eastern Shawnee Tribe has received your letter regarding the above referenced project(s) within Lafourche Parish County, LA. The Eastern Shawnee Tribe is committed to protecting sites important to Tribal Heritage, Culture and Religion. Furthermore, the Tribe is particularly concerned with historical sites that may contain but not limited to the burial(s) of human remains and associated funerary objects.

As described in your correspondence, and upon research of our database(s) and files, we find our people occupied these areas historically and/or prehistorically. However, the project proposes **NO Adverse Effect** or endangerment to known sites of interest to the Eastern Shawnee Tribe. Please continue project as planned. However, should this project inadvertently discover an archeological site or object(s) we request that you immediately contact the Eastern Shawnee Tribe, as well as the appropriate state agencies (within 24 hours). We also ask that all ground disturbing activity stop until the Tribe and State agencies are consulted. Please note that any future changes to this project will require additional consultation.

In accordance with the NHPA of 1966 (16 U.S.C. § 470-470w-6), federally funded, licensed, or permitted undertakings that are subject to the Section 106 review process must determine effects to significant historic properties. As clarified in Section 101(d)(6)(A-B), historic properties may have religious and/or cultural significance to Indian Tribes. Section 106 of NHPA requires Federal agencies to consider the effects of their actions on all significant historic properties (36 CFR Part 800) as does the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (43 U.S.C. § 4321-4347 and 40 CFR § 1501.7(a)). This letter evidences NHPA and NEPA historic properties compliance pertaining to consultation with this Tribe regarding the referenced proposed projects.

Thank you, for contacting the Eastern Shawnee Tribe, we appreciate your cooperation. Should you have any further questions or comments please contact our Office.

Sincerely,

Paul Barton, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO)
Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11988/11990
FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT/WETLANDS – CHECKLIST (44 CFR Part 9)

APPLICANT:	Chiasson, LF-3
COUNTY/STATE:	Lafourche, Louisiana
COORDINATES:	Latitude: 29.628238, Longitude: -90.501882
PROPOSED ACTION:	Construct MHU Housing at LF-3 Chiasson Group Site. The Proposed Action would utilize the project site and design to contract for the construction of approximately 208 manufactured housing unit (MHU) pads, green space, and all the necessary support facilities. These pads would be used for the placement of MHUs to house displaced families, Development of the site would require the installation of utilities on the site; gravel for site leveling, MHU pads, resident parking, and roads; and concrete for UFAS parking areas

APPLICABILITY: Actions which have the potential to affect floodplains/wetlands or their occupants, or which are subject to potential harm by location in floodplains/wetlands.

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

The proposed action could potentially adversely affect the floodplain/wetlands.

Remarks:

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

The proposed action could potentially be adversely affected by the floodplain/wetlands.

Remarks:

ACTION:

- ☐ Review against 500 Year floodplain (for Critical Action)
 - ☒ Review against 100 Year floodplain
 - ☐ Not Applicable (for actions located in wetland only)
-

STEP NO. 1 Determine whether the proposed action is located in the 100-year floodplain (500-year floodplain for critical actions) and/or wetland; (44 CFR § 9.7).

The project is located within an "AE" zone, area of 100-yr flooding, per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel 22057C0400E, dated 6/16/2021.

STEP NO. 2

Notify the public at the earliest possible time of the intent to carry out an action in a floodplain/wetland, and involve the affected and interested public in the decision-making process; (44 CFR § 9.8)



Notice was provided as part of a disaster cumulative notice:

Newspaper: FEMA_DR-4611-IDA-LA-initial-public-notice_102021.pdf

Date: 10/01/2021



Project Specific Notice (e.g. EA, newspaper, public meeting, etc):

Type of Public
Notice:

Date:

STEP NO. 3

Identify and evaluate practicable alternatives to locating the proposed action in a floodplain/wetland (including alternatives sites, actions and the "no action" option). (44 CFR § 9.9)

Alternative Options



YES



NO

Is there a practicable alternative site location outside of the floodplain/wetland?

If yes, provide the site location:



YES



NO

Is there a practicable alternative action outside of the floodplain/wetland that will not affect the floodplain/wetland?

If yes, describe the alternative action:



YES



NO

Is the NO Action alternative the most practicable alternative?

If a practicable alternative exists outside the floodplain/wetland, FEMA must locate the action at the alternative site.

REMARKS The Direct Housing Assessment Team (DHAT), comprised of FEMA and State representatives, has monitored, and will continue to monitor FEMA housing needs to identify eligible households that are likely to have a need for temporary housing assistance. Specific information being collected includes the number of eligible households, location of eligible households, special needs, and quantity of bedrooms required by each household. Needs

assessments are based on household composition and the number of occupied bedrooms recorded when the inspection is conducted.

FEMA's National Processing Services Center has utilized internet searches, reviewed public listings, made inquiries to state social service organizations and reviewed local advertisements to determine available rental resources. After reviewing available mapping data and rental resource databases and making onsite observations of disaster-related damage(s) and present living conditions of local residents, DHAT has determined that alternate housing resources in the affected area cannot meet the demand for housing.

Returning disaster survivors to their pre-disaster communities is the preferred alternative due to the direct positive impacts the returning citizens have on stimulating the local economy and rebuilding the overall sense of community, thereby reducing the amount of time it takes a community to recover from a disaster. This solution provides survivors with reasonable commuting time to workplaces, schools, childcare, and places of worship, as well as familiar food, shopping services, laundry facilities, playgrounds, and pet areas. When survivors are placed outside their neighborhoods, additional infrastructure and other services such as access to education, public transportation, emergency services, and healthcare facilities are often required, and the resources of host communities can become strained.

The policy of returning disaster survivors to their pre-disaster communities is consistent with the guidance outlined under the FEMA DHAT Standard Operating Procedures which states, "an effort should be made to keep applicants within a Reasonable Commuting Distance: A distance that does not place undue hardship on an applicant." (Individual Assistance Program and Policy Guide, March 2019). In addition, the solution to return survivors to their pre-disaster communities aligns with the unique factors used to analyze practicable alternatives under 44 CFR,0.13(d)(3).

To fulfill the housing needs, DHAT has compiled a site feasibility list of potential private residences, commercial park sites, MLRs, Direct Lease properties, and potential group site locations for placing Manufactured Housing Units (MHUs) or Travel Trailers (TTs). First priority has been given, and will continue to be given, to the placement of MHUs/TTs on private/owner sites and commercial parks, these being the most expedient and most cost-effective options. When these options are not sufficient to accommodate the housing needs of a parish, then site locations for Group Housing within the commuting area are being utilized. Priority is then given to group sites that can be expediently prepared for MHUs/TTS, have existing utility connections and ingress/egress to the site, require minimal ground disturbance, and are located outside the Wetlands and 100-year Floodplain.

The availability of potential private residences and commercial park sites for placing MHUs/TTs in Lafourche Parish is becoming depleted and the availability of group sites outside the floodplain is exhausted.

Based on the analysis by the Direct Housing Team, FEMA has determined that the practicable alternatives are exhausted under CFR Part 9 and will allow for development and placement of direct housing resources, Manufactured Housing Units and Recreational Vehicles/Travel Trailers in FEMA developed, leased and managed group site locations, within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA).

The Direct Housing team has completed a review and analysis of potential group sites and the depth of base flood elevations (BFEs) to support FEMA built and managed group site housing operations. FEMA has and continues to analyze alternatives outside of the floodplain against the available resources and are documenting this decision process by way of data analytics and GIS mapping. FEMA continues to prioritize the placement of manufactured housing units (MHUs) over Recreational Vehicles (RVs) or Travel Trailers (TTs) in any SFHA.

Group sites in the SFHA are triaged in a process whereby those sites with shallower Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) are prioritized for development before moving to sites with progressively deeper BFEs in the effort to secure enough housing pads to satisfy the geographical need. Priority of these sites will also satisfy the geographic population density need with the most benefit of pad site development and timeliness.

As of January 11, 2022, based on applicant call outs, it is estimated that approximately 1,198 households will require direct temporary housing assistance in Lafourche Parish. While approximately half of this need has been met with private and commercial sites, there remains a balance of 559 unit needs which could be filled through group site locations.

Currently, there are 37 group site locations in Lafourche Parish that have undergone a review. It has been determined that 28 of these are not practicable, either being actively used, located in a wetland, not practicable in terms of cost, or the owner(s) is not interested in leasing the property to FEMA. FEMA is continuing with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review process for the other 9 sites which are located in the SFHA.

For the Chiasson Group Site, the proposed project would satisfy 208 MHUs of the 410 needed units. It has been determined that there is no practicable alternative to the development of the Chiasson Group Site.

STEP NO. 4 **Identify the potential direct and indirect impacts associated with the occupancy or modification of floodplains/wetlands and the potential direct and indirect support of floodplain/wetlands development that could result from the proposed action; (44 CFR § 9.10)**

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

Is the proposed action in compliance with the NFIP (see 44 CFR Part 59 seq.)?

☐ **N/A** Remarks:

- ☒ **YES** ☐ **NO** Does the proposed action increase the risk of flood loss?
- ☒ **YES** ☐ **NO** Will the proposed action result in an increased base discharge or increase the flood hazard potential to other properties or structures?
- ☒ **YES** ☐ **NO** Does the proposed action minimize the impact of floods on human health, safety, and welfare?
- ☐ **YES** ☒ **NO** Will the proposed action induce future growth and development, which will potentially adversely affect the floodplain/wetland?
- ☒ **YES** ☐ **NO** Does the proposed action involve dredging and/or filling of a floodplain/wetlands?
- ☐ **YES** ☒ **NO** Will the proposed action result in the discharge of pollutants into the floodplain/wetlands?
- ☐ **YES** ☒ **NO** Does the proposed action avoid long and short-term adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains/wetlands?
- ☐ **N/A** Remarks:
- ☒ **YES** ☐ **NO** Will the proposed action result in any indirect impacts that will affect the natural values and functions of floodplains/wetlands?
- ☐ **YES** ☒ **NO** Will the proposed action forego an opportunity to restore the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains/wetlands?
- ☐ **N/A** Remarks:
- ☐ **YES** ☒ **NO** Does the proposed action restore and/or preserve the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains/wetlands?
- ☐ **N/A** Remarks:
- ☐ **YES** ☒ **NO** Will the proposed action result in an increase to the useful life of a structure or facility?

REMARKS: The conversion of agriculture land into a site for MHUs will require clearance of vegetation and the addition of hard surfaces. This would temporarily eliminate 45 acres of agricultural land used for raising crops and would result in water run-off into the floodplain area, reducing the ability of the floodplain to store water and absorb run-off, thereby increasing the flood hazard potential to other nearby properties and the duration of that flooding.

Fill is considered to be the spreading of gravel for roads and pads and poured concrete for the UFAS pads.

These impacts would occur for up to 18 months, when the occupants return to their repaired/reconstructed homes and the MHUs are removed from the site.

Wetlands would not be impacted by the proposed project.

STEP NO. 5

Minimize the potential adverse impacts and support to or within floodplains/wetlands to be identified under Step 4, restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains/wetlands; (44 CFR § 9.11)

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

Were flood hazard reduction techniques applied to the proposed action to minimize the flood impacts if site location is in the 100- or 500-Year floodplain/wetlands?

☐ **N/A** Remarks:

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

Were avoidance and minimization measures applied to the proposed action to minimize the short- and long-term impacts on the 100-Year floodplain/wetlands?

If no, identify measures required as a condition of the grant:

☐ **N/A** Remarks:

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

Were measures implemented to restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values of the floodplain/wetlands.

If no, identify measures required as a condition of the grant:

☐ **N/A** Remarks:

☐ **YES** ☒ **NO**

Is new construction or substantial improvement in a floodway, and new construction in a coastal high hazard area proposed?

If YES: Is the activity considered as functionally dependent use or a structure or facility which facilitates an open space use?

☐ **YES** ☐ **NO**

REMARKS: Only clean fill materials from a commercial source would be utilized in construction of the group site. All excavated materials would be relocated into a non-floodplain area either on site or at an approved location off site. Silt fencing will be used during construction to prevent materials from migrating off site.

Health, safety, and welfare of the occupants and floodplain is promoted by installing an above-ground self-contained sewage packing plant. The resulting grey water will be piped to the western boundary of the project site and emptied into Bayou Lafourche. The facility will comply with state and federal regulations for the point source pollutants created by packaging plants into surface water, to also include existing streams. Drinking water for the MHUs will be supplied by the public water supply. Electricity will be sourced from nearby power poles and electric lines will be run in safety-approved conduits to each MHU.

All units will be constructed in accordance with U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and FEMA standards, which enhance frame requirements, thermal protection, plumbing and fire safety. All units will have smoke detectors, weather radios and fire extinguishers.

Occupants of MHUs placed in the floodplain will be advised of flood threats to health and safety per DAP9453.3, Disaster Assistance Directorate Guidance Memorandum, dated 10/17/2008, and will be required to sign an acknowledgement that they have received and understand the risk involved. The responsible program, before unit occupancy, will provide applicants with a Health and Safety Advisory regarding the flood hazard, local emergency evacuation plans, etc. (See Condition #4 below).

STEP NO. 6

Reevaluate the proposed action to determine first, if it is still practicable in light of its exposure to flood hazards, the extent to which it will aggravate the hazards to others, and its potential to disrupt floodplain/wetlands values and second, if alternatives preliminarily rejected at Step 3 are practicable in light of the information gained in Steps 4 and 5. (44 CFR § 9.9)

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

The action is still practicable at a floodplain/wetland site in light of the exposure to flood risk and ensuing disruption of natural values.

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

The floodplain/wetlands site is the only practicable alternative.

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

There is no potential for limiting the action to increase the practicability of previously rejected non-floodplain/wetlands sites and alternative actions.

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

Minimization of harm to or within the floodplain/wetlands can be achieved using all practicable means.

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

The action in a floodplain/wetland clearly outweighs the requirement of E.O. 11988/11990.

FEMA shall not act in a floodplain/wetland unless it is the only practicable location.

STEP NO. 7

Prepare and provide the public with a finding and public explanation of any final decision that the floodplain/wetland is the only practicable alternative; and (44 CFR § 9.12)

☐

Check if the Initial Public Notice serves as the Final Public Notice or a Cumulative Final Public Notice was published. No condition required.

☒

Final public notice will be issued on Lafourche parish, GOHSEP, webpages and FEMA.gov

STEP NO. 8

Review the implementation and post - implementation phases of the proposed action to ensure that the requirements stated in Section 9.11 are fully implemented. Oversight responsibility shall be integrated into existing processes. (44 CFR § 9.11)

☒ **YES** ☐ **NO**

Was Grant conditioned on review of implementation and post-implementation phases to ensure compliance of EO 11988?

Conditions

1. **Coordination with the local floodplain administrator** must occur prior to placement. All coordination pertaining to these activities and applicant compliance with any conditions should be documented and copies forwarded to the state and FEMA for inclusion in the permanent project files.
2. **Compliance with State Regulations:** Placement of MHUs or other readily fabricated dwellings must be in accordance and in compliance with Louisiana regulations.
3. **Local Permitting and Codes:** Any FEMA units will be installed in compliance with applicable local codes, ordinances and permitting requirements. Any contracted logistics installation entities (installers) for TTHU placement will secure all pertinent Federal, state, and local permits and approvals before work.
4. **Health and Safety:** Before unit occupancy, the responsible program, will provide applicants with a Health and Safety Advisory regarding the flood hazard, local emergency evacuation plans, right-of-entry during an emergency, and possible unit haul off.

The responsible program will ensure the local emergency manager has information regarding location of TTHU occupants and potential special needs, to integrate into local emergency plans.

Monitoring Requirements: None.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, NEW ORLEANS DISTRICT
7400 LEAKE AVE
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70118-3651

CEMVN-RG-J

15 December 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR Christopher Klein, SAD

SUBJECT: Hurricane Ida Temporary Housing Hugh Caffery Chiasson Property site (MVN-2021-01296-SB)

1. Reference is made to your request for a determination of regulatory permitting requirements to place temporary housing called the Hugh Caffery Chiasson Property site on property located in Section 1, Township 17 South, Range 19 East, Lafourche Parish, Louisiana (enclosed map).
2. Based on review of recent maps, aerial photography, and soils data, we have determined that part of the property contains non-wetland waters that are subject to Corps' jurisdiction. The approximate limits of the non-wetland waters are designated in blue on the map. A Department of the Army (DA) permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act will be required prior to the deposition or redistribution of dredged or fill material into these waters of the U.S. Additionally, the area designated in brown contains wetland areas subject to Corps' jurisdiction. However, we are unable to accurately delineate these wetlands without a detailed field investigation. Additionally, part of the property contains uplands that are not subject to Corps' jurisdiction. The approximate limits of the uplands are designated in green on the map. A DA permit will not be required for activities in the upland areas.
3. Please be advised that this determination only applies to DA permitting requirements and does not alleviate your responsibility to obtain proper authorization from other federal, state, or local agencies that may be required.
4. Please be advised that this property is in the Louisiana Coastal Zone and a Coastal Use Permit may be required prior to initiation of any activities on this site. For additional information, contact Ms. Christine Charrier, Office of Coastal Management, Louisiana Department of Natural Resources at (225) 342-7953.

Brad
Guarisco
BRAD GUARISCO
Chief, Jurisdiction and Enforcement Branch
Regulatory Division

Digitally signed by
Brad Guarisco
Date: 2021.12.15
16:21:04 -06'00'

Encl







USACE IH 12/15/2021

By: BRAD GUARISCO

For: KLEIN

(MVN-2021-01296-SB)

-  = DETERMINATION BOUNDARY
-  = NON-WETLAND WATERS OF THE U.S.
-  = UPLAND
-  = MIXED WETLAND & UPLAND

DELINEATION
ONLY



State of Louisiana
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
OFFICE OF COASTAL MANAGEMENT

September 29, 2021

Jerame J Cramer
EHP Advisor
FEMA-State Joint Field Office Region 6
[REDACTED]

RE: **C20210142**, Coastal Zone Consistency
FEMA – State Joint Field Office
Direct Federal Action – Negative Determination
Temporary housing assistance through the Individuals and Households Program (IHP).
Jefferson, Lafourche, Livingston, Plaquemines, St. Charles, St. Helena, St. James,
St. John the Baptist, Tangipahoa, and Terrebonne Parish

Dear Jerame J Cramer:

This office has received the above referenced negative consistency determination, in accordance with Section 307(c) of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended. After careful review we have determined that the project does not demonstrate any reasonably foreseeable effects on coastal uses or resources. Therefore we concur with your negative determination, as described by NOAA regulations on federal consistency at 15 CFR §930.35.

Please refer to the above Consistency number when corresponding on this matter. If you have any questions please call Mark Hogan of the Consistency Section at ([REDACTED]) or [REDACTED]

/S/ **Charles Reulet**
Administrator
Interagency Affairs/Field Services Division

CR/SK/MH